

14 THIRD PARTY RISK

really needs to be done.

A vendor who doesn't have

No concerns were raised in

party risk management

our last examination, so third

doesn't need to be a priority.

The big-name vendors must

Providing reports to the The board and senior board and senior management should be actively management team is all that involved. It's a regulatory

access to confidential information doesn't need to be included in our inventory.

Third party risk management isn't just about exam time, it's a

Remember, the massive Target

hacker compromising an HVAC

breach was facilitated by a

vendor's credentials.

constant responsibility.

guidance requirement.

be doing things well, so we can focus our time on the lower-level vendors.

We just don't have the

stop requesting more.

budget for third party risk

management, so we should

Don't stop pushing for

additional resources!

Even the largest processors

have problems from time to

managed.

time and all need to be actively

Post-contract ongoing

monitoring and periodically

are just as important to help

reduce any exposure to risk.

updating due diligence records

Just because abusive isn't defined

around this. Check out enforcement

actions at similar organizations to

doesn't mean examiners won't

expect you to have procedures

Since "abusive" in UDAAP (Unfair, Deceptive, or **Abusive Acts or Practices)**

selection phase.

The most important time to

perform due diligence on a

vendor is during the vendor

isn't defined, it's fine to ease

It's not important to actively

monitor fourth party

vendors.

up on the monitoring.

help you out. And, the CFPB has promised to define the term at some point, so be extra prepared with proper procedures in place. If the fourth party vendor is providing a critical product or service to your third party

vendor or has access to your

confidential information, then

Cutting corners at any time can

you should analyze further.

lead to unfortunate

consequences.

It's okay to cut corners on due diligence if the vendor is low risk. **Our organization's prudential**

regulator is the FDIC or other

body, so we don't need to

worry about what the OCC

Regulators are looking to one another for third party risk management best practices.

commands

products and services, so you should assess risk at the product/service level.

Not necessarily. If your organization processes any European data, then

you should be considering GDPR

implications. You also need to be

Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) which

prepared for the California

and needs.

There are differing levels of risk

associated with different

General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) is a **European regulation so a**

need to worry about it.

Third parties only need risk

assessed at the vendor level.

US-based organization doesn't

It's unnecessary to consider

other providers because our

organization has been using

went into effect on January 1, 2020. Periodically look into options. There may be a competitive vendor who better aligns with your organization's strategies

Vendor XYZ for years. Right now, new consumer privacy law and regulation is only in the works in

Many states are in the process of implementing similar regulations. And, if you're doing business with California in any capacity, you need to be aware of the requirements.

different state so I don't need to worry about it. ... and that's just a start! Third party risk management should

always be a priority with an

understanding of what is true

and what is an industry myth.

California, and I'm in a



Venminder can help you reduce your workload.

